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In current social contexts there are various situations in which people participate in graphing activities. The school has an important role in the teaching of graphing knowledge to citizens. Several researchers have stressed critical sense as an important aspect of the data handling process. This paper reports on a pilot study exploring some tasks in which primary school teachers might approach graphing, using critical sense as an important element. Analysis of the results suggests factors, which may be significant in the design of such tasks. [1]

INTRODUCTION

As a data handling activity, graphing might be conceptualised as a process by which people can establish relationships between data, and infer information through the construction and interpretation of graphs.

The activity of graphing might be developed in various contexts. Gal (2002) states that data handling activities, including those related to graphing, may happen in two main kinds of contexts: ‘enquiry’ and ‘reading’. In enquiry contexts people engage in empirical investigation of actual data. The individuals act as ‘data producers’ or ‘data analysers’ and usually have to interpret their own data and results and report their findings and conclusions, e.g. researchers, statisticians, students. The reading contexts emerge in everyday situations in which people see and interpret graphs (watching TV, reading newspaper, looking advertisements while shopping, visiting internet sites etc). Figure 1 provides an example of a media graph that was published to illustrate journalistic arguments about the use of contraception and fertility rates.

Figure 1: graph reprinted from The World in 2002, The Economist, 2001, p.132.
According to Gal (2002), in the activity of interpreting media graphs, adults mobilise diverse skills and types of knowledge (e.g. literacy skills, statistical and mathematical knowledge, beliefs and critical sense). In particular, this author emphasises that reading contexts of print media graphs demands a certain level of ‘statistical literacy’ in which readers can interpret, critically evaluate, and comment on statistical information, arguments, and messages. For example, in Figure 1, among other aspects, we might notice that 1.8 and 1.5 children are represented as equal (one baby) where they are not.

Several authors have stressed the importance of critical sense as part of ‘statistical literacy’ (Gal, 2002). This means the ability to look behind the data and deeply analyse information and its interrelations rather than simply accepting the initial impression given by the graph. This is a graphing skill related to the role of citizens in society (e.g.; Adler, forthcoming; Evans and Rappaport, 1998; Watson, in press).

Another particular context in which the graphing activities are developed is the school. In spite of official inclusion in national curricula, in some countries the teaching of graphing has had a slow development.

In school context, graphing activities might be related to ‘reading’ and ‘enquiry’ contexts. For example, Watson (in press) argues that teachers are enthusiastic about using newspaper articles containing significant mathematical content as pedagogic resources. Unusual and sometimes misleading graphical presentations (such as figure 1), which occur in print media, might be excellent examples to motivate and challenge students.

However, this kind of graphing task demands critical sense as important skill through which students can establish links between the different aspects involved in graphing situation. The student teachers, as any citizen, need to be able to look critically at statistics presented by different sources, such as governmental statistics about unemployment, inflation, poverty etc (Evans and Rappaport, 1998), where the line between ‘mere description’ and ‘suggestion’ may be very fuzzy (Konold and Pollatsek, in press).

Nevertheless, Adler (forthcoming) argues that the resources in and for school mathematics are drawn from both academic and everyday mathematical practices. Mathematical activity in school is by necessity neither an everyday activity nor the activity of the mathematician. Therefore, the utilization of resources from out-of-school practices produces an important challenge for teachers, because the recontextualisation processes are complicated and sometimes contradictory.

Primary school teachers face several challenges when teaching graphing. Amongst these we can identify: the construction of meaningful context for teaching, the use of the computer as teaching tool, and the articulation between outside school and school knowledge/use of graphs. These challenges may increase further when the complex issue of developing critical sense is included.
EXPLORING CRITICAL SENSE

In this paper, we report on a pilot study, which explored the approaches to graphing shown by some student teachers, using critical sense as an important element. The study is based on interview tasks, which aim to simulate reader and enquiry contexts, and focuses on how effective these are for supporting the development of critical sense. It will inform a larger study into ways in which primary school teachers can be helped to develop critical sense in their own use of graphing, and awareness of the importance of critical sense in their teaching of graphing.

METHOD

The ten student teachers that took part in the study were from the second year of an undergraduate course and were following different specialisms (mathematics, art, science and English). However, they had all taken a curriculum methods course in primary school mathematics, which included a section on data handling. All the students were female. Each student was interviewed twice, once individually, and then with another student taking the same specialism. In the paired interviews, students were asked to work on a computer-based task. However, because of lack of space, we focus here only on the individual interviews.

Individual Interview

In the first interview, each student was asked about their familiarity with media graphs in a reader context and their familiarity with computers. In this interview, the participants were also given two tasks based on print media graphs. The graphs were chosen as having contents that would relate to the interests of the students.

The first graph was presented in the context of a magazine (See Figure 1). The students were invited to imagine that they could talk to the person who had produced the graph and invited to ask any questions about it.

The second task used two graphs related to road accidents, which were extracted from Quality of life in Warwickshire (2001). The students were then asked to consider the possibility of combining data from both graphs to produce one graph. In addition, they were required to think about how realistic the targets displayed in the both graphs were.

![Figure 1: graphs reprinted from Quality of life in Warwickshire, September 2001, pp. 93-94.](image-url)
ANALYSIS OF INTERVIEWS

Half of the students said that they regularly read a newspaper and/or magazines aimed at a female audience. One student was a subscriber of a periodical.

Fertility graph

The students’ responses to the fertility graph (Figure 1) were limited. Some students formulated interesting questions, which were mainly related to factors such as the source of data, and the methodology used to collect it. For example Anne (English):

Yeah – I’d like to know how they got the pictures in the first place. (…) I’d like to ask about, how they did the survey, the article around it. The actual survey itself. Did they test a small concentrated group? How did they make sure it wasn't varied? Can't see it from the graph (…)

In general, students’ comments regarding the ‘fertility graph’ focused on the appearance of the graph or technical aspects of production of data, rather than the subject matter itself. For example, they did not ask questions associated with the relationship between the use of contraception for women and rates of fertility in the regions shown by the graph, or about why only data on married women was included.

Road accident graph

The variability of questions here was greater than for the fertility graph. Many of the questions were connected with the conceptual aspects of data presented by the graph, rather than technical aspects. For example, Jackie (Mathematics):

As I was saying what’s serious injury, what’s classed as serious injuries? And what age do they class as children? …

In this task, students were also invited to compare and combine the data that came from both graphs. This necessitated that they (at least visually) manipulated data. The manually drawn graphs produced by the students were an important resource for them in beginning to establish relationships between the data.

Finally, students were asked whether the targets shown on the graphs were realistic. Different interpretations were given based on the same information. Generally, these considered contextual factors not indicated by the graphs, rather than focussing on the graphs themselves. For instance, Julia (science) referred to the continual rise in the amount of traffic, which was not taken into account by the graph:

R – Do you think that these targets are realistic?

J – Going on the data there, no. Because there is a slight rise… Here… the data stayed the same but … its a lot to achieve… I mean I’d like those deaths reduce like much… but I think it is a quite hopeful target … I don’t think it’s realistic, no.

R – Why?

J – …Because if I was just going on the data alone… But, personally having children the amount of education that they get on road safety … it just goes straight over their heads you know they still run across roads and with the increase in traffic, the increase in cars… I can’t see
the correlation of an increase in traffic and the reduction in road accidents, but… That’s personally me…

Comparing the tasks

In general, the comments of most of the students (including Julia, who was herself a mother) were more limited during the interpretation of the fertility graph than in the task using the road accidents graph. Comments on the fertility graph also tended to be concerned with features of the graph itself whereas in commenting on the road accident graphs, students drew on a range of contextual information. It seemed that during the road accident task, many students were more engaged in the data-handling situation, and their critical sense was activated more strongly. The bar graphs related to road accidents were closely linked with the daily lives of the students, particularly as it came from the region in which they study and/or live. The task was also the second in the interview, when students were more relaxed. However these arguments seems too simplistic to explain why the students demonstrated more critical sense in discussing these graphs.

CONCLUSIONS

We view the interviews in this study not simply as opportunities for data collection, but as situations in which learning and teaching happened. Analysis of the differences between the responses to the two tasks is therefore significant in exploring aspects of the interview tasks, which were important for the development of critical sense. We consider a number of factors.

The nature of the graphs used

The fertility graph is typical of many graphs presented in print media, in that it uses pictorial images related to the subject matter. It is essentially a combination of two bar graphs, showing levels of contraception and fertility rates, but the presentation tends to disguise the fact that two different data sets are being offered for comparison. In contrast, the road accident data are displayed on two separate bar graphs, with no decorative material. This invites comparison between the data sets, although the differences in scale necessitate some level of manipulation.

The questions asked

The initial question asked in both tasks (“if you could talk to the person who produced this graph, are there any questions you would like to ask?”) was designed elicit critical comment, and also to legitimise such comment and questioning, in contrast to traditional pedagogic settings which are limited to closed reading of graphs.

However, in the road accident task, a further question required the students to make judgements about the reasonableness of the targets. This question seemed to be effective in activating critical sense.
Moving towards an enquiry context

In the fertility graph task students were only involved in reading the graph. The road accidents task required the students to do some simple manipulation in order to produce a graph to combine the two sets of data. This moved them from being simply readers of the graphs towards being more actively involved in data analysis and presentation. In Gal’s (2002) terms, this is closer to an enquiry context than the reader context in which people would generally engage with media graphs. Results from this pilot study support our conjecture that enquiry contexts are more likely to develop the use of critical sense.

The relevance of data content

Although both graphs were chosen because we felt that the data content would be relevant to the participants (all female student teachers), the road accident data seems to have engaged their interest and concern to a far greater extent than the fertility data. The limited data available from this study means that we can do no more than speculate about the reasons for this. Engagement in purposeful activity plays an important role in deriving meaning from content and we conjecture that this will support the development of critical sense. The relationship between engagement with data content and the development of critical approaches will be an important aspect of investigation of further study. At present we simply note that it is not easy, even when considering this factor explicitly, to predict the relevance of data content for particular groups of students.

1 This research is supported by CNPq – Conselho Nacional do Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (Brazil).

REFERENCES

Adler, J.: forthcoming, ‘Conceptualising Resources as a Theme for Teacher Education.’ Journal for Mathematics Teacher Education.


